

MARKING CRITERIA and STUDENT FEEDBACK

This section details the assessment criteria. The *extent* to which these are demonstrated by you determines your mark. The marks available for each criterion are shown. Lecturers will use the space provided to comment on the achievement of the task(s), including those areas in which you have performed well and areas that would benefit from development/improvement.

Common Assessment Criteria Applied	Marks Available	Marks Awarded
1. Engagement with Literature Skills		
2. Knowledge and Understanding Skills		
Generally not a bad IP Addressing activity. Only concern relates to the definition of the subnet and maximum hosts in the network - some reflection on class resources will help. Allocation of IP addresses for the scenario show a good understanding of the scenario and its requirements	10	8
3. Cognitive and Intellectual Skills		
Although the simulation is setup and routing works for IPV4, there are some issues with the configuration of IPV6 and its routes (check your configuration). Again, reflection of class labs/NetAcad will help the IPV6 elements. With regards to IPV4 - it has been correctly setup. Also, if configured correctly, you should have not been able to connect via Telnet (you can), but were not able to connect via SSH (R1 not correctly configured here)	10	3
4. Practical Application Skills		
Lab activities have been correctly done (with the exception of Lab 4 - written report is required). With regards to the practical elements via packet tracer, your IPV4 work is fine, You have configured the various devices for IPV6, but you need to check the routing so that it works (did you ping the devices via IPV6)	80	68
5. Transferable Skills for Life and Professional Practice		

Assignment Mark (Assessment marks are subject to ratification at the Exam Board. These comments and marks are to give feedback on module work and are for guidance only until they are confirmed.)	Late Submission Penalties (tick if appropriate)		79
	Late Submission ?		
	1st/2nd/3rd attempt?	1st	